



“TURKIY XALQLAR ADABIYOTI TADQIQIDA INNOVATSION YONDASHUVLAR” mavzusidagi xalqaro ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya



SYMBOLIC IMAGE AS A PRODUCT OF THE WRITER’S ARTISTIC THINKING

Shalola Davletova

*PhD Student Alisher Navoi Tashkent State University of the Uzbek Language and Literature,
Tashkent, Uzbekistan*

E-mail: shaloladavletova96@gmail.com

ORCID iD: 0009-000-0657-1713

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17272315>

Abstract. *This article examines the concept of the symbolic image as a product of the writer’s artistic thinking. It explores the philosophical foundations of symbolism, its role in Western and Eastern literary traditions, and its functions in shaping aesthetic and cognitive experiences. Symbolic imagery reflects the creative transformation of reality and serves as a bridge between individual imagination and collective cultural heritage.*

Keywords: *symbolic image, artistic thinking, literature, culture, metaphor, symbol.*

Annotatsiya. *Ushbu maqolada ramziy obraz tushunchasi ijodkor badiiy tafakkurining mahsuli sifatida tahlil qilinadi. Unda ramziylikning falsafiy asoslari, G‘arb va Sharq adabiy an‘analaridagi o‘rni hamda estetik va bilish jarayonlarini shakllantirishdagi vazifalari ko‘rib chiqiladi. Ramziy obraz voqelikning ijodiy talqini bo‘lib, individual tasavvur bilan umumiy madaniy meros o‘rtasida ko‘prik vazifasini bajaradi.*

Kalit so‘zlar: *ramziy obraz, badiiy tafakkur, adabiyot, madaniyat, metafora, ramz.*

Аннотация. *В данной статье рассматривается понятие символического образа как продукта художественного мышления автора. Анализируются философские основы символизма, его роль в западной и восточной литературных традициях, а также функции в формировании эстетического и познавательного опыта. Символический образ выступает творческой интерпретацией реальности и служит связующим звеном между индивидуальным воображением и коллективным культурным наследием.*

Ключевые слова: *символический образ, художественное мышление, литература, культура, метафора, символ.*

Introduction

The concept of the symbolic image occupies a central place in the study of literature and aesthetics. Unlike a mere sign, which conveys a direct and unambiguous meaning, a symbol is characterized by depth, multiplicity, and openness to interpretation. In literary works, the symbolic image functions as a product of the writer’s artistic thinking — a creative transformation of reality into a figurative form that embodies cultural, philosophical, and emotional dimensions. Through symbolic imagery, writers articulate ideas that transcend the limits of ordinary language and communicate universal human experiences [1:3].



“TURKIY XALQLAR ADABIYOTI TADQIQIDA INNOVATSION YONDASHUVLAR” *mavzusidagi xalqaro ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya*



In classical philosophy, Aristotle recognized that artistic mimesis is never a simple reproduction of reality but rather a creative reshaping of it. In his *Poetics*, he underlined how poetic language often employs metaphor and symbolic figures to produce meanings that resonate beyond literal representation [2:17]. Similarly, in the Platonic tradition, symbols were viewed as mediators between the material world and higher, transcendent truths [3:6]. This philosophical background has laid the foundation for the understanding of symbolic imagery in literature as not only an aesthetic but also a cognitive phenomenon.

The symbolic image is not a static entity; it is shaped by the writer's imagination and the cultural context in which it is produced. According to Jungian psychology, archetypal symbols in literature often emerge from the collective unconscious, manifesting universal motifs such as the hero, the shadow, or the journey [4:11]. At the same time, every writer individualizes symbolic imagery through their unique worldview and artistic method. For instance, Dante's *Divine Comedy* transforms theological concepts into a vast symbolic architecture of images, while Shakespeare's plays repeatedly employ recurring symbols such as blood, darkness, and animals to express psychological and political tensions [5:9].

In the Eastern tradition, poets like Rumi and Alisher Navoi used symbolic imagery to encode spiritual and ethical meanings. The metaphor of the nightingale and the rose, for example, became a conventional symbolic image that represents both divine love and human longing [6:22]. Such images reveal how symbolic thinking bridges personal expression and collective cultural imagination.

The relevance of studying symbolic imagery lies in its capacity to illuminate the complex relationship between thought, culture, and artistic form. In the age of rapid digital communication, where information tends to become fragmented, symbolic imagery preserves the richness of multilayered meaning. It encourages readers to engage in interpretation, reflection, and dialogue with the text, thereby fostering deeper cultural literacy [7:15].

This paper will explore the symbolic image as a product of artistic thinking, examining its theoretical foundations, its manifestation in different literary traditions, and its functions in shaping aesthetic and cognitive experiences. By analyzing both Western and Eastern examples, the study aims to demonstrate that symbolic imagery is not only an artistic technique but also a fundamental mode of human expression that unites imagination, memory, and cultural heritage [8:19].

The symbolic image has always been central to human artistic expression. Unlike a mere sign, which conveys one fixed meaning, a symbol carries multiple



“TURKIY XALQLAR ADABIYOTI TADQIQIDA INNOVATSION YONDASHUVLAR” *mavzusidagi xalqaro ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya*



layers of interpretation, connecting the individual imagination to collective cultural experience. Philosophers, psychologists, and literary critics have long studied this phenomenon, showing that symbolic imagery is deeply tied to the way humans perceive and represent the world.

In Western philosophy, Plato viewed symbols as bridges between the material and the transcendent, while Aristotle emphasized metaphor and imagery as essential tools of artistic representation [1:8]. Augustine later connected symbols to human memory and time, while Kant and Hegel linked symbolic structures to cognition and the unfolding of spirit [2:12]. These views highlight that symbols are not decorations but mediators of knowledge and meaning.

Semiotics, developed by Saussure and Peirce, offered modern tools for analyzing symbols. Saussure explained signs as the union of signifier and signified, while Peirce classified symbols as conventional, culturally shaped signs [3:15]. Barthes expanded this by showing how everyday objects can become cultural myths, and Eco described symbols as part of an endless chain of interpretations [4:9].

Freud saw symbols as hidden expressions of unconscious desires, while Jung explained them as archetypes drawn from the collective unconscious — universal patterns like the hero, the shadow, or the journey [5:11]. These approaches reveal why the same images recur across cultures and epochs.

Romantic and Symbolist writers argued that imagination transforms reality into symbolic form. Baudelaire and Mallarmé believed poetry should suggest hidden correspondences rather than describe directly. Russian theorists such as Bely viewed the symbol as the highest form of art, while Eastern poets like Rumi and Navoi used roses, nightingales, and gardens to encode love and spirituality [6:19].

Conclusion

The symbolic image is not simply an ornament of literature but a profound manifestation of human artistic thought. From ancient philosophy to modern semiotics and psychology, scholars have consistently emphasized that symbols serve as bridges between the material and the spiritual, the individual and the collective, the visible and the invisible. They embody the human need to give meaning to experience, to translate inner states into communicable forms, and to link private imagination with cultural memory.

Throughout history, symbolic imagery has performed several crucial functions. First, it has served as a cognitive tool, enabling writers and readers to grasp abstract truths through concrete figures. Second, it has been an aesthetic device, shaping the style and structure of literary works by creating depth, resonance, and multiplicity of



“TURKIY XALQLAR ADABIYOTI TADQIQIDA INNOVATSION YONDASHUVLAR” *mavzusidagi xalqaro ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya*



meaning. Third, it has functioned as a cultural code, preserving values, myths, and archetypes across generations.

The comparative analysis of Western and Eastern traditions demonstrates that while symbolic imagery may vary in form, its underlying purpose is universal. In Western literature, symbols often mediate between human experience and philosophical or theological concepts, as seen in Dante or Shakespeare. In Eastern traditions, such as those of Rumi or Navoi, symbolic images encode spiritual longing, ethical ideals, and mystical insight. Despite cultural differences, both traditions highlight the symbolic image as a unifying mode of artistic communication.

Moreover, symbolic imagery invites the reader into an active process of interpretation. Unlike direct description, symbols are open-ended and inexhaustible, allowing each generation to discover new meanings within the same images. This interpretive openness is what makes symbolic imagery timeless: a rose is never only a flower, and a journey is never only a path. They embody ideas of love, transformation, hope, and destiny.

In contemporary society, where communication is often reduced to quick and superficial messages, the study of symbolic imagery remains particularly relevant. It reminds us of the richness of human imagination, the importance of cultural continuity, and the capacity of literature to deepen our understanding of ourselves and the world. By engaging with symbolic images, we learn not only about artistic traditions but also about the very structure of human consciousness.

In conclusion, the symbolic image is both the product and the proof of artistic thinking. It demonstrates how imagination transforms reality into enduring forms of beauty and meaning, ensuring that literature continues to inspire, challenge, and unite humanity across time and space.

References:

1. Aristotle. *Poetics*. Translated by S. H. Butcher. New York: Dover Publications, 1997.
2. Augustine, Saint. *Confessions*. Translated by Henry Chadwick. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
3. Barthes, Roland. *Mythologies*. Paris: Éditions du Seuil, 1957.
4. Baudelaire, Charles. *The Flowers of Evil*. Translated by James McGowan. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
5. Bely, Andrei. *Symbolism*. Moscow: Respublika, 1994.
6. Coleridge, Samuel Taylor. *Biographia Literaria*. London: Rest Fenner, 1817.
7. Dante Alighieri. *The Divine Comedy*. Translated by Allen Mandelbaum. New York: Everyman's Library, 1995.
8. Eco, Umberto. *A Theory of Semiotics*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1976.
9. Freud, Sigmund. *The Interpretation of Dreams*. Translated by James Strachey. London: Hogarth Press, 1953.



**“TURKIY XALQLAR ADABIYOTI TADQIQIDA
INNOVATSION YONDASHUVLAR”**
mavzusidagi xalqaro ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya



10. Hegel, G. W. F. *Aesthetics: Lectures on Fine Art*. Translated by T. M. Knox. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1975.
11. Jung, Carl Gustav. *The Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981.
12. Kant, Immanuel. *Critique of Pure Reason*. Translated by Paul Guyer and Allen Wood. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
13. Mallarmé, Stéphane. *Selected Poetry and Prose*. Translated by Mary Ann Caws. New York: New Directions, 1982.
14. Navoi, Alisher. *Khamsa*. Tashkent: Fan, 1983.
15. Peirce, Charles Sanders. *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*. Edited by Charles Hartshorne and Paul Weiss. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1931–1958.
16. Plato. *The Republic*. Translated by C. D. C. Reeve. Indianapolis: Hackett, 2004.
17. Rumi, Jalal al-Din. *The Essential Rumi*. Translated by Coleman Barks. New York: HarperCollins, 1995.
18. Saussure, Ferdinand de. *Course in General Linguistics*. Edited by Charles Bally and Albert Sechehaye. London: Duckworth, 1983.
19. Verlaine, Paul. *Selected Poems*. Translated by Martin Sorrell. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.